FINANCE INDIA © Indian Institute of Finance Vol. XXXIV No. 2, June 2020 Pages – 371 – 378

Modelling Return and Nonlinear Dynamics of Asia-5 Markets

VIJAYALAKSHMI SUNDAR* DANIEL LAZAR**

Abstract

Non-linear dependence in financial time series return perhaps describes the possible predictability of any stock market which is certainly supportive for the investors and the stock traders. Thus the research on such arena also has gained importance in recent times. In the present scenario, the Asian stock markets are deeply influencing and intimidating the world economy. The most essential concern of the study towards ASIA 5 stock markets is to assess the nonlinearity and risks associated with each market. Thus paper investigates the nonlinear dynamics of Asia-5 markets applying BDS test by taking daily returns from the year 1992 to 2015. The study proved that return series of Asian markets are nonlinearly dependent which confirms modelling series with GARCH models. The result of GARCH (1, 1) for all the five markets was highly significant which confirms the presence of internal shocks. The risk of the each market was measured by applying GARCH- M and found that Shanghai stock exchange is the riskiest asset.

I. Introduction

NON-LINEAR DEPENDENCE in financial time series return perhaps describes the possible predictability of any stock market which is certainly supportive for the investors and the stock traders. Thus, the research on such arena also has gained importance in recent times. Hinich and Patterson (1985) were first among other who came up with the evidence of non-linear dependence in NYSE stock returns. The study presented a technique for recognizing the structure of the quadratic model, by using the large sample distribution of the sample bicovariance and determined the quadratic coefficients which were ominously different from zero.

Lima (1998) studied the non-stationarities and nonlinearities in the stock market returns. The study elevated the question from the recent studied, which were reported that the nonlinearities in high-frequency financial

Submitted May 2017; Accepted October 2018

^{*} Research Scholar, Pondicherry University, The Department of Commerce, R.V. Nagar, Kalapet, Puducherry 605014, INDIA

^{**} Professor and Head of the Department, Pondicherry University, The Department of Commerce, R.V. Nagar, Kalapet, Puducherry 605014, INDIA

asset as it recorded the highest standard deviation value among all other markets. The result in turn also approves the result of descriptive statistics presented above.

GARCH - M Results				
Indices	SD - Coefficient	z-Statistic	Prob.	
LBSE	0.024456	0.596977	0.5505	
LJCI	0.019558	0.569616	0.5689	
LKOS	0.057677	1.647542	0.0994	
LNKY	0.051147	1.052849	0.2924	
LSSE	0.109359*	3.277571	0.0010	

Table V GARCH - M Results

Source: Self Computed

IV. Conclusion

In the present scenario, the Asian stock markets are deeply influencing and intimidating the world economy. The most essential concern of the study towards ASIA-5 stock markets is to assess the nonlinearity and risks associated with each market. The daily closing prices of S&P BSE Sensex, Jakarta Stock Exchange, Korea Stock Exchange, Nikkei 225 Stock Average Index, Shanghai Stock Exchange were analyzed by applying BDS, GARCH (1,1), and GARCH-M (1,1) models after the preliminary checks of stationary, volatility clustering and diagnostic tests. The ADF, PP, and KPSS test statistic rejected the null hypothesis at level (at 1% significance level) for all returns and confirmed the application of time series stochastic models of the returns under the study.

The BDS test accepted the alternate hypothesis and proved that ASIA -5 stock market return are nonlinearly dependent, which proves that financial time series data are having chaotic behaviour and not independently and identically distributed. GARCH (1, 1) reveal that all the ASIA-5 markets are of more volatility and are close to unity. The result of GARCH –M model discloses risk influence of respective index and found Shenzhen Composite Index is a risky asset which seems to have more SD than other markets.

References

Abhyankar, A., (1998), "Linear and nonlinear Granger causality: Evidence from the UK stock index futures market", *Journal of Futures Markets*, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 519-540.

Afonso, A., and J. Teixeira, (1998), "Nonlinear tests of weekly efficient markets: *Evidences from Portugal*", SSRN Network, 1998

Blasco, N., C. Del Rio and R. Santamaría, (1997), "The random walk hypothesis in the Spanish stock market: 1980–1992", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 667-684.

Bonilla C.A., M.J. Hinich and R.R. Meza, (2006), "Episodic nonlinearity in Latin American stock market indices", *Applied Economic Letters*, Vol. 13, pp. 195-199.

Cinko, M., (2002), "Nonlinearity test for Istanbul Stock Exchange", SSRN Network.

De Lima, P.J., (1998), Nonlinearities and nonstationarities in stock returns, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 227-236.

Dorina, L., and U. Simina, (2008), "*Testing efficiency of the stock market in emerging economies*", SSRN Network, 2008.

© Indian Institute of Finance

Hassan. K.M., W.S. Al-Sultan and J.A. Al-Saleem, (2003), "Stock market efficiency in the Gulf Council Countries (z`GCC): The case of Kuwait stock exchange", *Scientific Journal of Administrative Development*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-21.

Hinich, M. J, and D.M. Patterson, (1985), "Evidence of nonlinearity in daily stock returns", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, Vol. 3, pp. 69-77.

Hsieh, D.A., (1989), "Testing for nonlinear dependence in daily foreign exchange rates", *Journal of Business*, pp. 339-368.

LeBaron, B., (1994), "Chaos and nonlinear forecastability in economics and finance", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 348, No. 1688, pp. 397-404.

Lim, Kian Ping., Brooks, R.D., and M. J. Hinich, (2008), "Nonlinear serial dependence and the weak form efficiency of Asian emerging stock markets", *International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, Vol. 18, pp. 527-544.

Lim, Kian-Ping., M.J. Hinich and V.K. Liew, (2003), "Episodic nonlinearity and non-stationarity in ASEAN exchange rate returns series", *Labuan Bulletin of International Business and Finance*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 79-93.

Newell, K.M., S.M. Slobounov, E.S. Slobounova and P.C.M. Molenaar, (1997), "Stochastic processes in postural center-of-pressure profiles", *Experimental Brain Research*, Vol. 113, No. 1, pp. 158-164.

Onkar, A.K., and D. Yadav, (2003), "Non-linear response statistics of composite laminates with random material properties under random loading", *Composite structures*, Vol. 60, No. 4, pp. 375-383.

Opong, K.K., G. Mulholland, A.F. Fox and K. Farahmand, (1999), "The behaviour of some UK equity indices: An application of Hurst and BDS tests", *Journal of Empirical Finance*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 267-282.

Prasad, P.N., and D.J. Williams, (1991), "Introduction to nonlinear optical effects in molecules and polymers", Wiley New York, pp. 120-131.

Scheinkman, J. A., (1990), "Nonlinearities in economic dynamics", *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 100, No. 400, pp. 33-48.

Seddighi, H.R., and W. Nian, (2004), "The Chinese stock exchange market: Operations and efficiency", *Applied Financial Economics*, Vol. 14, pp. 785-797.

Sewell, S.P., S.R. Stansell, I. Lee, and M.S. PAN, (1993), "Nonlinearities in emerging foreign capital markets", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 237-248.

Solibakke, P.B., (2005), "Non-linear dependence and conditional heteroscedasticity in stock returns evidence from the norwegian thinly traded equity market", *The European Journal of Finance*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 111-136.

Annexure I Diagnostic Tests Results

Table A1
Heteroskedasticity Test : ARCHF-statistic435.6201Prob. F(1,5898)0.0000Obs*R-squared405.7961Prob. Chi-Square(1)0.0000Source:Self ComputedSelf ComputedSelf Computed

Table A2Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test

F-statistic	29.37781	Prob. F(2,5895)	0.0000
Obs*R-squared	54.27285	Prob. Chi-Square(2)	0.0000

Source: Self Computed

© Indian Institute of Finance