FINANCE INDIA
© Indian Institute of Finance
Vol. XXXVI No. 2, June 2022
Pages – 551 - 564

# Systemic risk and Bank Characteristics Relationship in India: Post-GFC scenario

## AMBIKA PRASAD PATI\*

#### Abstract

By adopting the change in the conditional value at risk (CoVaR) measurement technique, this paper identifies the institution specific drivers of systemic risk of Indian banking during the post-global financial crisis (GFC) period. The analysis is based on the data of 37 listed Indian banks in the Bombay Stock Exchange over a period of 10 years. Over the years Indian public sector banks were found to be top systemic risk emitters. In line with the international literature, bank size has emerged as a factor explaining the risk along with the share of short-term deposits, equity return, and return on assets. Share of the non-performing loan has surfaced as a new variable. While contributing to the Indian literature, the findings are expected to support the banking regulator to focus more on managing the current massive scale of poor-quality loan assets.

**JEL Code**: E05, D81, G21, G32

Keywords: Systemic risk, CoVaR, bank size, non-performing loans, India

# I. Introduction

MANAGING SYSTEMIC RISK (SR) arising out of financial institutions' interconnectedness is a global concern that accentuated further afterthe global financial crisis (GFC). Since banking dominates the institutional network of almost all developed and emerging economies, risks emanating from them has a more significant ramification. Recently, "with the concern of system-wide distress in mind, the macroprudential objective of prudential regulation—that is, limiting systemic risk—gained traction on the regulatory agenda" (Oordt and Zhou, 2019) and made banking the most favorite domain among the researchers. Since banking is the most fragile(Kaufman, 1996), also considered as institutions of systemic nature,

<sup>\*</sup> Professor, North Eastern Hill University, The Department of Commerce, Shillong, Meghalaya 793022, INDIA

immediately. The banking business during the first five years of GFC less impacted. Since 2015, with the identification of more stressed assets in the system, the picture completely changed.

In line with global literature, the asset size of banks, and the share of short-term debt to total deposit have emerged along with the size of nonperforming loans as the contributory variables to the SR. The implication of these findings has immense significance. By April 2020, the number of public sector banks has reduced to only 12. This consolidation brought bank size into the forefront, along with their contribution to SR. With the increase in size, their contribution to risk is also likely to increase, which requires strict regulatory oversight. As revealed from the second regression, the quality of the loan is also one of the drivers. This finding is a pointer to the regulator for stricter NPL management. The negative impact of large scale non-performing loans is still looming large on the banking performance. Particularly the current phase of severe global economic disruption due to the impact of a significant externality, i.e., COVID-19 pandemicis likely to add fuel to the fragile health, which is very much visible in the current meltdown of the Indian stock market (more than 30 percent decline in the BANKEX). It is very much likely that due to this disruption, the NPL expected to rise further and require more capital infusion soon. The central banker needs to be more vigilant in coming years to adequately negotiate any challenges emanating from the disruption, like slippage in the quality of assets and deterioration in the financial health, which expected to enhance the SR.

### References

Acharya, V.V., R. Engle and M. Richardson, (2012), "Capital Shortfall: A New Approach to Ranking", *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, Vol. 102, No. 3, pp. 59–64

Acharya, V. V., and A.V. Thakor, (2016), "Dark side of liquidity creation: Leverage and systemic risk", *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, Vol. 28, No. C, pp. 4–21

Acharya, V. V., L.H. Pedersen, T. Philippon and M. Richardson, (2017), "Measuring systemic risk", *Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 2–47

Adrian, B. T., and M.K. Brunnermeier, (2016), "CoVaR", *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 106, No. 7, pp. 1705–1741.

BIS (2004), ""International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards A Revised Framework," Working Paper, Bank for International Settlements, June.

Benoit, S., C. Hurlin and C. Pérignon, (2019), "Pitfalls in systemic-risk scoring", *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 19–44

Biase, P. di, and D'Apolito, E. (2012), "The Determinants of Systematic Risk in the Italian Banking System: A Cross-Sectional Time Series Analysis", *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 4, No. 11, pp. 152–164

562 Finance India

Billio, M., M. Getmansky, A.W. Lo and L. Pelizzon, (2012), "Econometric measures of connectedness and systemic risk in the finance and insurance sectors, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 104(3, pp. 535–559

- Blum, J. M. (2008), "Why "Basel II" may need a leverage ratio restriction", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 32, No. 8, pp. 1699–1707
- Borri, N., G. Di Giorgio, M. Caccavaio and A.M. Sorrentino, (2014), "Systemic Risk in the Italian Banking Sector", *Economic Notes by Banca Monte Dei Paschi Di Siena SpA*, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 21–38
- Brownlees, C., and R.F. Engle, (2017), "SRISK: A conditional capital shortfall measure of systemic risk" *Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 48–79
- Brunnermeier, M. K., G. Dong and D. Palia, (2020), "Banks' Non-Interest Income and Systemic Risk" *Review of Corporate Financial Studies*
- Buch, C. M., T. Krause and L. Tonzer, (2019), "Drivers of systemic risk: Do national and European perspectives differ?", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Vol. 91, No. C, pp. 160–176
- Chan-Lau, J. A., (2010), "Regulatory capital charges for too-connected-to-fail institutions: A practical proposal" (WP/10/98), International Monetary Fund (IMF), Washington D.C.
- Claessens, S and N. Horen, (2014), "The Impact of the Global Financial Crisis on Banking Globalization" International Monetary Fund (IMF), Washington D.C.
- Das, S. R., and R. Uppal, (2004), "Systemic Risk and International Portfolio Choice", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. LIX, July 2004, pp. 2809–2834.
- Diebold, F.X., Yýlmaz, K. (2014), "On the network topology of variance decompositions: measuring the connectedness of Financial firms", *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 182, No. 1, pp. 119–134.
- Drakos, A. A., and G.P. Kouretas, (2015), "Bank ownership, financial segments, and the measurement of systemic risk: An application of CoVaR", *International Review of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 40, No. C, pp. 127–140.
- Duca, M. Lo, and T.A. Peltonen, (2013), "Assessing systemic risks and predicting systemic events", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 37, No. 7, pp. 2183–2195.
- Eichberger, J., and M. Summer, (2005), "Bank Capital, Liquidity, and Systemic Risk", *Journal of European Economic Association*, Vol. 3, No. 2–3, pp. 547–555.
- Evanoff, D. D., and L.D. Wall, (2002), "Measures of the riskiness of banking organizations: Subordinated debt yields, risk-based capital, and examination ratings", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 989–1009.
- Giglio, S., B. Kelly and S. Pruitt, (2016), "Systemic risk and the macroeconomy: An empirical evaluation", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 119, No. 3, pp. 457–471.
- Giudici, P., P. Sarlin and A. Spelta, (2020), "The interconnected nature of ûnancial systems: Direct and common exposures", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 112, No. 5, pp. 105-149
- Hart, O., and L. Zingales, (2011), "A new capital regulation for large financial institutions", *American Law and Economics Review*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 453–490.

- He, Q., J. Liu, J. Gan and Z. Qian, (2019), "Systemic financial risk and macroeconomic activity in China. *Journal of Economics and Business*, Vol. 102, No. C, pp. 57–63.
- Hué, S., Y. Lucotte and S. Tokpavi, (2019), "Measuring network systemic risk contributions: A leave-one-out approach", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 100 No. C, pp. 86–114.
- IMF. (2009), "Global Financial Stability Report: Responding to the Financial Crisis and Measuring Systemic Risk"; International Monetary Fund (IMF), Washington D.C., April 2009.
- Jean-Charles, R., and J. Tirole, (1996), "Interbank Lending and Systemic Risk", *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 733–762.
- Karimalis, E. N., and N.K. Nomikos, (2018), "Measuring systemic risk in the European banking sector: a copula CoVaR approach", *European Journal of Finance*, Vol. 24, No. 11, pp. 944–975.
- Kaufman, G. G. (1996), "Bank failures, systemic risk, and bank regulation", *Cato Journal*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 17-45.
- Kleinow, J., F. Moreira, S. Strobl and S. Vahamaa, (2017), "Measuring systemic risk: A comparison of alternative market-based approaches", *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 21, No. C, pp. 40–46.
- Kleinow, J., and T. Nell, (2015), "Determinants of systemically important banks: the case of Europe", *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 446-476.
- Kosmidou, K., D. Kousenidis, A. Ladas and C. Negkakis, (2017), "Determinants of risk in the banking sector during the European Financial Crisis", *Journal of Financial Stability*, Vol. 33, No. C, pp. 285–296.
- Laeven, L., L. Ratnovski and H. Tong, (2016), "Bank size, capital, and systemic risk: Some international evidence", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 69, No. S1, pp. S25–S34.
- Li, X., H. Yu, L. Fang and C. Xiong, (2019), "Do firm-level factors play forward-looking role for financial systemic risk: Evidence from China", *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, Vol. 57, No. C, pp. 1-17.
- Lin, E. M. H., E.W. Sun and M.T. Yu, (2018), "Systemic risk, financial markets, and performance of financial institutions", *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 262, No. 2, pp. 579–603.
- Lopez-Espinosa, G.A. Moreno, A. Rubia and L. Valderrama, (2012), "Short-term Wholesale Funding and Systemic Risk- A Global Covar approach" IMF Working Paper (WP/12/46), International Monetary Fund (IMF), Washington D.C., pp. 1-35.
- Nier, E., J. Yang and T. Yorulmazer, (2007), "Network Models and Financial Stability", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 31, No. 6, pp. 2033-2060.
- Oordt, M and C. Zhou, (2019), "Systemic risk and bank business models", *Journal of Applied Economics*, Vol. 34,pp. 365–384.
- Pati, A P. (2017), "Credit Risk Stress Testing Practices in BRICS: Post-global Financial Crisis Scenario, *Global Business Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 936-954

564 Finance India

RBI. (2019), "Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2018-19", Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai

- Tondon, D. and Y.S. Mehra, (2017), "Impact of Ownership and Size on Operational Risk Management Practices: A Study of Banks in India", *Global Business Review*, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 795-810.
- Varotto, S., and L. Zhao, (2018), "Systemic risk and bank size", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Vol. 82, No. C, pp. 45-70.
- Verma, R., W. Ahmad, G.S. Uddin and S. Bekiros, (2019), "Analysing the systemic risk of Indian banks", *Economics Letters*, Vol. 176, pp. 103–108.
- Weiß, G. N. F., D. Bostandzic and S. Neumann, (2014), "What factors drive systemic risk during international financial crises?", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 41, No. 1, pp. 78–96.
- Yun, T., D. Jeong and S. Park, (2019), ""Too central to fail" systemic risk measure using Page Rank algorithm", *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol. 162, No. 6, pp. 251-272.
- Zedda, S and G. Cannas, (2020), "Analysis of banks' systemic risk contribution and contagion determinants through the leave-one-out approach", *Journal ofBanking and Finance*, Vol. 112, No. 3, pp. 105-160.